



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/693,736	10/24/2003	Jianwei Yuan	555255005011	2401
<div>7590 David B. Cochran, Esq. JONES DAY North Point 901 Lakeside Ave Cleveland, OH 44114</div>			<div>EXAMINER NGUYEN, CHAU T</div>	
			<div>ART UNIT 2176</div>	<div>PAPER NUMBER</div>
			<div>MAIL DATE 06/28/2007</div>	<div>DELIVERY MODE PAPER</div>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/693,736	Applicant(s) YUAN ET AL.	
	Examiner Chau Nguyen	Art Unit 2176	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Chau Nguyen. (3) Stephen Perry (Applicant's representative).
 (2) Joseph Sauer (Applicant's representative). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 June 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: prior art or record (Reiley).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The invention was discussed in light of the prior art of record. The examiner suggested amendments to further classify "content structure" and "content properties" found in independent claims. Applicant advised new search and consideration would be required for proposed amendments. No further agreement was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


 Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.